

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/045,837	GURVICH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dean O Takaoka	2817	

All Participants:

(1) Dean O Takaoka

(2) Paul B. Heynssens

Date of Interview: 17 September 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: With respect to Applicant's amendment dated July 28, 2003, it was noted that claim 13 was dependent from now cancelled claim 11. The prior office action indicated that the subject matter of claim 13 was allowable thus the Applicant requested the subject matter of claims 11 and 13 combined to make newly independent claim 13. The Examiner advised this would be done by Examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. .